

Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening



As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions.

Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

| | |
|---|---|
| Directorate: Children’s Services | Service area: Contracting and Strategic Investment |
| Lead person: Nigel Wilson | Contact number: 07891 271056 |

1. Title: Conversion of Hill Top Primary School to Academy Status

Is this a:

Strategy / Policy

Service / Function

Other

If other, please specify

2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

The decision whether or not to enter into a number of contract documents and agreements between Leeds City Council, The Woodkirk Multi Academy (LEODIS) and Department of Education to formalise the conversion of Hill Top Primary School to Academy status, as follows:

- School Agreement;
- Principal Agreement;
- Long Term lease;
- School User Agreement;
- Commercial Transfer Agreement; and
- Dead of Variation to the PFI Contract.

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

| Questions | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics? | | X |
| Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal? | X | |
| Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom? | X | |
| Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices? | X | |
| Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment • Advancing equality of opportunity • Fostering good relations | X | |

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4**.
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5**.

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

- **How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration?** (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

An effect of the receipt of an Academy Order is that the Local Authority must cease to maintain the school in question on the date (the “conversion date”) on which the school, or a school that replaces it, opens as an Academy. This means that the LA can no longer pay for staff, assets or premises related repairs on behalf of the Academy.

Leeds City Council does not approve a conversion to a Sponsored Academy – the Council is not party to this process and the decision lies with the Department for Education (“the DfE”) although officers will work closely with schools, providing extra leadership support and guidance throughout the process, including the selection of a Sponsor, completion of the Expression of Interest and transition from maintained to academy status.

The Council cannot challenge the decision to become an Academy and it is an instruction that must be followed. As Local Authorities are unable to influence the conversion process and therefore are unable to control the impact that an Academy will have on those groups who may be affected by the conversion, the DfE have undertaken an Equality Impact Assessment in relation to the Academies Bill and have also completed a Race Impact Assessment in relation to the Academies Programme – both of these are publicly available on the DfE’s website.

The purpose of the Transfer is to provide for risks and information obligations for the parties now the academy is now running the school. A key document is the Commercial Transfer Agreement relating to staff, assets and contracts. It provides for apportionments of payment of salaries, pension contributions, etc. and indemnities from both parties in relation to employment matters. The standard form (which provides for extensive indemnities from the City Council to the Academy) has been modified in line with Children’s Services policy to provide only TUPE obligations, and obligations to pay staff up to the date of transfer. The decision to formally enter into a CTA and the other project documentation is one of the final steps of the DfE pre-determined conversion process.

LCC has minimal statutory obligations around the TUPE process. Although technically the school staff are employed by LCC, the statutory responsibilities around employment of staff were discharged to individual school Governing Bodies and LCC has undertaken its statutory duty by writing to the Governing Bodies of each school and outlining the authority’s guidance in relation to the intended transfer of undertakings (TUPE) and what they must carry out as a minimum in order to be legally compliant.

All consultations regarding the proposed academies were undertaken by a number of

stakeholders including the Governing Body, the Sponsors and Leeds City Council. However, it should be noted that there is no set guidance on how to quantify what appropriate consultation actually means in terms of duration and consultees. All interested parties should however, have been provided with opportunity to respond and ask questions.

- **Key findings**

(think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

The aim of the Academies Bill is to enable more schools to become Academies, giving them the freedoms and flexibilities they need to continue to drive up standards. Although the provisions do not in themselves have any direct equality aspects, the aim is to secure and increase the educational attainment of all school pupils and therefore their chances of better employment and a more rewarding life.

All levels of school (secondary, primary and special) are able to apply for Academy status and so the overall impact will be to increase the diversity of schools on offer to ALL pupils, including those catering for pupils with disabilities and special education needs, and to raise standards for such pupils in under-performing schools.

Public Concerns

As with any Central Government policy, there are likely to be opposing views on the policy. It is clear that there is a lot of misrepresentation and incorrect information surrounding the Academies Programme, and one of the main concerns expressed by the public is that Academies are able to be selective in their admissions policy due to being independent of LA control.

However, Academies are required to act in accordance with all relevant provisions of the School Admissions Code and the School Admission Appeals Code. The school admissions framework is intended to ensure that the school admissions system is fair to all children regardless of race, ethnicity, gender or ability and the School Admissions Code prohibits admission authorities from disadvantaging children from any particular social or racial group or those with SEN.

Effect on LCC's Services

One of the key impacts on LA's is that funding is provided direct to Academies rather than coming through the LA, including an element of funding which covered service areas such as Asset Management, Educational Welfare Services Finance, and some statutory and regulatory duties relating to central services that do not transfer to Academies such as HR and legal functions.

There is no doubt that this loss of funding will impact on the LA's ability to deliver these services in the future as more schools convert to Academies and the level of funding coming into the LA continues to reduce. This loss of funding is again outside of the LA's

control and the DfE expect that local authorities will be able to make higher levels of savings and that the amount of funding required for these responsibilities will reduce accordingly.

However, there is an acceptance by the DfE that there is a cost to deliver these services and the DfE is therefore wanting to ensure that local authorities receive appropriate levels of funding for the responsibilities that they retain for pupils in Academies. That is why, under new proposals, local authorities would receive some of the funding for each pupil in an Academy, as well as for all pupils in maintained schools.

As highlighted in the previous section, the transfer of staff and assets will happen irrespective of the council's level of involvement and engagement. However, positive engagement by the Council will help to foster continuing good working relationships with the Academies.

- **Actions**

(think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

The conversion of a school to academy status will impact on the “Narrowing the Gap” and “Going up a League” agendas and may be an outcome from the Local Education Challenge. Academies in Leeds have the potential to contribute to the targets to meet key priorities within the Children and Young People’s Plan and the work on the Local area Agreement

As highlighted in the previous section, the transfer of staff and assets will happen irrespective of the council's level of involvement and engagement. However, positive engagement by the Council will help to foster continuing good working relationships with the Academies.

By managing the academy process and agreeing the various project documents, LCC are also fostering a positive relationship with the Academy Sponsor.

5. If you are **not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and**

| | |
|--|--|
| integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment. | |
| Date to scope and plan your impact assessment: | |
| Date to complete your impact assessment | |
| Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title) | |

| | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|
| 6. Governance, ownership and approval | | |
| Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening | | |
| Name | Job title | Date |
| Nigel Wilson | Senior Contract Manager | 07/03/2016 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 7. Publishing | |
| This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published. | |
| If this screening relates to a Key Delegated Decision, Executive Board, full Council or a Significant Operational Decision a copy should be emailed to Corporate Governance and will be published along with the relevant report. | |
| A copy of all other screening's should be sent to equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk . For record keeping purposes it will be kept on file (but not published). | |
| Date screening completed | 07/03/2016 |
| If relates to a Key Decision - date sent to Corporate Governance | |
| Any other decision – date sent to Equality Team (equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk) | 07/03/2016 |